Arrangements of Point Charges Having Zero Electric-Field Gradient

BY OSVALD KNOP

Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4J3, Canada

E. M. PALMER

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48823, U.S.A.

AND R. W. ROBINSON

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104, U.S.A.

(Received 2 November 1973; accepted 30 July 1974)

The general conditions are investigated under which an assembly of point charges will produce a zero electric-field gradient (ZEFG) at a reference point $s_0(0,0,0)$. It is shown that for equal charges it is necessary that they form a configuration of cubic or icosahedral symmetry about s_0 . Unequal charges must be located at the vertices of a *centrosymmetric* polyhedron of symmetry *m*3*m*, *m*3 or 53*m* in such a way that the sum of the charge values in a pair of charges related by the centre of symmetry of the polyhedron be the same for all the pairs. Configurations of this kind are self-dual (SD) with respect to interchange of vertices by inversion in the centre of symmetry of the polyhedron. Self-dual configurations containing two kinds of charges (SD2C) are listed for polyhedra of up to 20 vertices and enumerated for *all* the centrosymmetric cubic and icosahedral Archimedean polyhedra and their duals. The method of enumeration without construction is described. The conditions under which such discrete ZEFG configurations can be embedded in three-dimensional crystal structures to give ZEFG structures are also investigated and a number of examples of such embeddings are given. The potential usefulness of such structures as Mössbauer null matrices is briefly discussed.

Certain properties of atoms in ionic crystals depend on the electric field gradient (EFG) at the atom or its nucleus. For example, the quadrupole splitting in the Mössbauer spectrum of ⁵⁷Fe or ¹¹⁹Sn depends on the EFG at the Mössbauer-active nucleus. When the EFG is zero (ZEFG), the quadrupole splitting is zero. The EFG is the resultant of two contributions, one due to the asymmetry of the electronic charge-density distribution in the Mössbauer-active atom M^* and the other, to charges on ions surrounding M^* . When the charge-density distribution about the M^* nucleus is of 'spherical' symmetry, the EFG from this source is zero (cf. for example, Collins & Travis, 1967); a case in point would be ⁵⁷Fe³⁺ (high-spin) in a purely ionic crystal. In the following we shall investigate the conditions under which the second contribution, the EFG due to ionic charges surrounding M^* , is zero. This problem in electrostatics does not appear to have received a general treatment before. The charges will be considered to be point charges throughout; the coordinate system is Cartesian.

Let M^* be situated at a site $s_0(0,0,0)$. A number *n* of point charges of values u_i located at $s_i(x_i, y_i, z_i)$ will produce at s_0 an EFG given by the tensor

$$\mathbf{EFG} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum U_{xx}^{(i)} & \sum U_{xy}^{(i)} & \sum U_{xz}^{(l)} \\ \sum U_{xy}^{(i)} & \sum U_{yy}^{(i)} & \sum U_{yz}^{(l)} \\ \sum U_{xz}^{(i)} & \sum U_{yz}^{(i)} & \sum U_{zz}^{(l)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{tr } \mathbf{EFG} = 0,$$

where $U_{xx}^{(1)} = u_i r_i^{-5} (3x_i^2 - r_i^2)$ etc., $U_{xy}^{(1)} = 3u_i r_i^{-5} x_i y_i$ etc., $r_i = (x_i^2 + y_i^2 + z_i^2)^{1/2}$, and the summation is over the *n* sites.

A C 31A - 2*

Only two of the three eigenvalues of this real symmetric matrix, $|v_{zz}| \ge |v_{yy}| \ge |v_{xx}|$, are needed to define the gradient $\text{EFG} = v_{zz}(1 + \eta^2/3)^{1/2}$, $\eta = (v_{yy} - v_{xx})/v_{zz}$, $0 \le \eta \le 1$, because of $v_{xx} + v_{yy} + v_{zz} = 0$. For the EFG at s_0 to be zero, v_{zz} must be zero and consequently $v_{xx} = v_{yy} = v_{zz} = 0$. For the three eigenvalues to be zero, the EFG matrix must be null.

For each particular value of $r_i = (\varepsilon_i^2 + \eta_i^2 + \lambda_i^2)^{1/2}$, the nullity of **EFG** is satisfied, nontrivially, by subsets \mathscr{S}_j (or their sums) of the complete set \mathscr{S} of the n_i coordinate triplets formed by letter and sign permutations of $\varepsilon_i, \eta_i, \lambda_i$ (the condition for u_i is discussed below). The number n_j of elements in \mathscr{S}_j is a factor or n_i . Each subset \mathscr{S}_j consists of one half of the full set of sign permutations performed on the cyclic permutations ($\varepsilon_i\eta_i\lambda_i$) or ($\varepsilon_i\lambda_i\eta_i$), subject to the restriction that the coordinate triplets in a subset must not be related by complete sign inversion, *i.e.* the sites represented by them must not be centrosymmetric with respect to s_0 . These subsets are the minimum subsets of \mathscr{S} that will yield EFG=0.

The subsets $\mathscr{S}_{j}(\varepsilon_{i}\eta_{i}\lambda_{i})$ and $\mathscr{S}_{j}^{\dagger}(\varepsilon_{i}\lambda_{i}\eta_{i})$ are related by interchange of two of the axes of reference (=reflexion in a 45° plane). Each subset $\mathscr{S}_{j}(\varepsilon_{i}\eta_{i}\lambda_{i})$ has associated with it a complementary subset $\mathscr{S}_{j}^{*}(\varepsilon_{i}\eta_{i}\lambda_{i})$ consisting of the other half of the full set of sign permutations of the cyclic permutations ($\varepsilon_{i}\eta_{i}\lambda_{i}$), and likewise for $\mathscr{S}_{j}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{j}^{\dagger*}$. When $|\varepsilon_{i}| \neq |\eta_{i}| \neq |\lambda_{i}|$, the two complementary pairs of subsets are mutually exclusive and $\mathscr{S}_{j} + \mathscr{S}_{j}^{*} + \mathscr{S}_{j}^{\dagger} + \mathscr{S}_{j}^{\dagger*} = \mathscr{S}$. If $k \ (1 \leq j \leq k)$ is the total number of such distinct decompositions of \mathscr{S} , the total number of subsets satisfying the nullity of **EFG** is 4k. When two of $|\varepsilon_i|, |\eta_i|, |\lambda_i|$ are equal, the permutations $(\varepsilon_i \eta_i \lambda_i)$ and $(\varepsilon_i \lambda_i \eta_i)$ are no longer distinct and $\mathscr{S}_j = \mathscr{S}_j^{\dagger}$. Hence $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}_j + \mathscr{S}_j^{\star}$ and the total number of subsets for which **EFG**=(0) is 2k.

The charge values associated with the coordinate triplets of a particular subset are identical, but the values $u_{ij}(\mathscr{S}_j), u_{ij}(\mathscr{S}_j^*), u_{ij}(\mathscr{S}_j^*), u_{ij}(\mathscr{S}_j^*)$ may all be different and so can u_{ij} for different values of j. The condition $\mathbf{EFG} = (0)$ is satisfied by each subset separately, regardless of the charge value of $u_{ij}(\mathscr{S}_j)$, and consequently it is also satisfied by any sum of the subsets, including \mathscr{S} .

Example 1. Let $\varepsilon = 2$, $\eta = 1$, $\lambda = 0$. A possible ZEFG subset would be, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1} &= 2, 1, 0; 1, 0, 2; 0, 2, 1; 2, -1, 0; -1, 0, 2; \\ &0, 2, -1 \\ \mathcal{S}_{1}^{*} &= -2, -1, 0; -1, 0, -2; 0, -2, -1; -2, 1, 0; \\ &1, 0, -2; 0, -2, 1 \\ \mathcal{S}_{1}^{\dagger} &= 1, 2, 0; 2, 0, 1; 0, 1, 2; -1, 2, 0; 2, 0, -1; \\ &0, -1, 2 \\ \mathcal{S}_{1}^{\dagger} &= -1, -2, 0; -2, 0, -1; 0, -1, -2; \\ &1, -2, 0; -2, 0, 1; 0, 1, -2. \end{aligned}$$

The subsets \mathscr{S}_i of coordinate triplets correspond to sets of vertices of a polyhedron \mathscr{P} of V vertices and symmetry m3m, m3 or 53m inscribed in a sphere of radius r_i with centre at s_0 .‡ The vertices in such a set are permutable by the symmetry operations of a noncentrosymmetric subgroup of the point group of \mathscr{P} . If the n_i charges have the same value,

(1) every configuration on \mathscr{P} consisting of V/2 vertices and with no two vertices related by the centre of symmetry of \mathscr{P} , is a representation of \mathscr{S}_j and hence a ZEFG configuration. The representations \mathscr{S}_j and \mathscr{S}_j^* are *self-dual* (SD) relative to interchange of the vertices by inversion in s_0 .§

(2) The combination of every such configuration with its own complement is a representation of $\mathscr{S}_j + \mathscr{S}_j^*$ and hence a centrosymmetric ZEFG configuration; it is in fact \mathscr{P} with all the vertices occupied by equal charges and hence its symmetry is m3m, m3 or 53m.

(3) The representation of $\mathscr{S}_j + \mathscr{S}_j^* + \mathscr{S}_j^* + \mathscr{S}_j^* = \mathscr{S}$ is the vertices of a polyhedron $\mathscr{T} \supset \mathscr{P}$ of symmetry m3m or 53m and having 2V vertices. If $\mathscr{S}_j = \mathscr{S}_j^*$, $\mathscr{T} = \mathscr{P}$.

(4) Configurations representing mixed sums, e.g. $\mathscr{S}_j + \mathscr{S}_j^{\dagger}$ or $\mathscr{S}_j^* + \mathscr{S}_j^{\dagger} + \mathscr{S}_j^{\dagger*}$, are noncentrosymmetric and embedded in \mathscr{T} .

§ Duality in this context is not the familiar face-vertex duality of polyhedra; this latter is referred to in Table 4 by \mathcal{D} .

Example 2. In Example 1 \mathscr{P} is an icosahedron of symmetry m3 (=dual of a crystallographic pentagondodecahedron) and V=12; \mathscr{T} is a truncated octahedron (m3m, V=24). $\mathscr{S}_1 + \mathscr{S}_1^*$ and $\mathscr{S}_1^* = \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *}$ correspond to two different orientations of \mathscr{P} , \mathscr{S} corresponds to \mathscr{T} . Representations of the subsets $\mathscr{S}_1, \mathscr{S}_1^*$, $\mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger}, \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *}$ are configurations of symmetry 3 on \mathscr{P} and can be brought to coincidence by rotation and reflexion. $\mathscr{S}_1 + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger}$ and $\mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger} + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *}$ have symmetry 3mand can be rotated into each other. $\mathscr{S}_1 + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *}$ and $\mathscr{S}_1^* + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger}$ have symmetry 32; $\mathscr{S}_1 + \mathscr{S}_1^* + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *}$, $\mathscr{S}_1 +$ $\mathscr{S}_1^* + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *}, \ {\mathscr{S}}_1 + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *} + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *}$ and $\mathscr{S}_1^* + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *} + \mathscr{S}_1^{\dagger *}$ have symmetry 3 and differ only by rotation and reflexion. The point groups 3, 32, and 3m are noncentrosymmetric subgroups of m3m. Each contains two rotations of order three, consistent with the cyclic permutation of three different values of the coordinate numbers $\varepsilon, \eta, \lambda$.

The representations of $\mathscr{S}_j + \mathscr{S}_j^*$ and \mathscr{S} , and the cubic representations of \mathscr{S} , correspond to all the vertices of a cubic or icosahedral polyhedron being occupied by equal charges. The cubic arrangements of this kind are well known to yield ZEFG. The less obvious ZEFG configurations are those under (1) that correspond to the noncentrosymmetric *non-cubic* representations of \mathscr{S}_i :

Example 3. The configuration *abcd* on the cube (Table 1) is ZEFG, even though only the four corners of one of the cube faces contain equal charges and the other corners are unoccupied.

When $u_{ij}(\mathscr{S}_j) \neq u_{ij}(\mathscr{S}_j^*)$, the arrangement of charges corresponding to $\mathscr{S}_j + \mathscr{S}_j^*$ is no longer centrosymmetric with respect to charge inversion in s_0 , but the vertex coordinates are. This configuration & is then self-dual relative to both vertex and charge inversion. When charge inversion $u_{ij} \leftrightarrow u_{ij}^*$ is admitted as a symmetry operation, the symmetry of \mathscr{C} is described by a dichromatic point group $G(\mathscr{C})$. This group derives from a proper subgroup of m3m or 53m by changing some of the symmetry operations of the subgroup to colourchanging (antisymmetry) operations, e.g. $2 \rightarrow 2'$ or $m \rightarrow m'$. Otherwise the symmetry of \mathscr{C} is described by the maximal monochromatic subgroup \mathbf{M} of \mathbf{G} (cf. for example, Birss, 1964). M is a proper noncentrosymmetric subgroup of m3m or 53m and a subgroup of index 2 in G, hence invariant. The group G can be decomposed according to $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M} \times \overline{\mathbf{I}}'$. The elements of the coset $\mathbf{M} \times \overline{\mathbf{I}}'$ are the symmetry operations that will convert & into its dual (chromatic inversion $\overline{1}'$ and one or several of the following: m', 2', 3', 4', 5', 3', 5').

On a particular \mathscr{P} each $\mathscr{S}_j(u_{ij})$ corresponds to a ZEFG configuration of equal charges u_{ij} . Hence $\mathscr{S}_j(u_{ij}) + \mathscr{S}_j^*(u_{ij}^*)$ also yields a ZEFG configuration. In this configuration every centrosymmetric pair of charges is of the type (u_{ij}, u_{ij}^*) . The contribution made to $\sum U_{xx}^{(\mathscr{Q})}$ by a charge u_{ij} at (x, y, z) is $u_{ij}K_1(xx)$; that

[‡] In the Cartesian coordinate system the equivalence of vertices of polyhedra of symmetry 53m and $V \ge 20$ cannot be expressed by permutations of a single triplet $\varepsilon, \eta, \lambda$ and two or more distinct triplets are required (cf. p. 23 and Table 1). However, the subsets S_i are formed from permutations of both, or all, such triplets. The apparent composite character of such polyhedra simply reflects the unsuitability of the Cartesian system to describe symmetry operations of order 5.

Table 1. Vertex coordinates for polyhedra of V up to 20in standard orientations

The coordinates have been normalized by placing all the vertices on a sphere of unit radius.

Octahedron

$$a(0,0,1) = -d, \ b(1,0,0) = -e, \ c(0,1,0) = -f$$

Cube, $\varepsilon = \sqrt{3/3}$
$$a(\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = -e, \ b(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = -f, \ c(-\varepsilon,-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = -g, \ d(\varepsilon,-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$$
$$= -h$$

Cuboctahedron, $\varepsilon = \sqrt{2/2}$

 $\begin{array}{l} a(\varepsilon,0,\varepsilon)=-g, \ b(0,\varepsilon,\varepsilon)=-h, \ c(-\varepsilon,0,\varepsilon)=-i, \ d(0,-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\\ =-j, \ e(\varepsilon,\varepsilon,0)=-k, \ f(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon,0)=-m \end{array}$

Icosahedron, $\varepsilon = [(5 - 1/5)/10]^{1/2}$, $\eta = [(5 + 1/5)/10]^{1/2}$

 $\begin{array}{l} a(\varepsilon,0,\eta)=-g, \ b(0,\eta,\varepsilon)=-h, \ c(-\varepsilon,0,\eta)=-i, \ d(0,-\eta,\varepsilon)\\ =-j, \ e(\eta,-\varepsilon,0)=-k, \ f(\eta,\varepsilon,0)=-m \end{array}$

Tetrahexahedron, $\varepsilon = \sqrt{3/3}$

 $\begin{array}{l} a(\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = -e, \ b(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = -f, \ c(-\varepsilon,-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = -g, \\ d(\varepsilon,-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = -h \\ i(0,0,1) = -m, \ j(1,0,0) = -n, \ k(0,1,0) = -p \end{array}$

 $r(\eta, -\eta, \eta) = -v$

Augmented cuboctahedron, V=18, $\varepsilon = 1/2/2$

 $\begin{array}{l} a(\varepsilon,0,\varepsilon) = -g, \ b(0,\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = -h, \ c(-\varepsilon,0,\varepsilon) = -i, \ d(0,-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \\ = -j, \ e(\varepsilon,\varepsilon,0) = -k, \ f(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon,0) = -m \\ n(0,0,1) = -r, p(1,0,0) = -s, q(0,1,0) = -t \end{array}$

Augmented cuboctahedron, V = 20, $\varepsilon = \sqrt{2/2}$, $\eta = \sqrt{3/3}$ $a(\varepsilon, 0, \varepsilon) = -g$, $b(0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) = -h$, $c(-\varepsilon, 0, \varepsilon) = -i$, $d(0, -\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ = -j, $e(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0) = -k$, $f(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0) = -m$ $n(\eta, \eta, \eta) = -s$, $p(-\eta, \eta, \eta) = -t$, $q(-\eta, -\eta, \eta) = -u$,

Dodecahedron, $(2\sqrt{3})\varepsilon = 2$, $(2\sqrt{3})\eta = \sqrt{5} + 1$, $(2\sqrt{3})\lambda = \sqrt{5} - 1$ $a(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) = -e, \ b(\varepsilon, -\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = -f, \ c(\varepsilon, -\varepsilon, -\varepsilon) = -g, \ d(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, -\varepsilon)$ = -h $i(\eta, 0, \lambda) = -q, \ j(\eta, 0, -\lambda) = -r, \ k(\lambda, \eta, 0) = -s,$ $m(-\lambda, \eta, 0) = -t, \ m(0, \lambda, -\eta) = u, \ p(0, -\lambda, -\eta) = -v$

made by a charge u_{ij}^* at (-x, -y, -z) is $u_{ij}^*K_1(xx)$, and similarly for $\sum U_{yy}^{(\mathcal{D})}$, $\sum U_{xy}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ etc. $\sum U_{xx}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ can thus be written as $\sum_{\alpha} (u_{ij} + u_{ij}^*)K_g(xx) = 0$, where $g = 1, 2, \ldots V/2$,

and similarly for $\sum U_{yy}^{(\mathcal{D})}$ etc. Consequently the two charges in a centrosymmetric pair can have any values provided their sum is the same as that for any other centrosymmetric charge pair in $\mathscr{S}_j(u_{ij}) + \mathscr{S}_j^*(u_{ij}^*)$, so that in a subset sum $\mathscr{S}_j + \mathscr{S}_j^*$ there can be up to V different kinds of charges provided the sums of their values in centrosymmetric pairs are identical.[‡]

In the case of $\mathscr{G}_j + \mathscr{G}_j^* + \mathscr{G}_j^* + \mathscr{G}_j^{**} = \mathscr{G}$ each of the four subsets of the decomposition can have a different charge value associated with it, which gives rise to a ZEFG arrangement of four kinds of charge (=four-colouring of the vertices of \mathscr{T}) without any relation between $u_{ij} + u_{ij}^*$ and $u_{ij}^{\dagger} + u_{ij}^{\dagger*}$ having to exist.

Example 4. In Example 3 the charges at the vertices a, b, c, d may be thought of as having the value +2 and

the unoccupied vertices e, f, g, h as carrying zero charge. This arrangement is equivalent to one in which all eight vertices of the cube are occupied by charges of value +1. Another possible equivalent arrangement is a(3)-e(-1), b(-2)-f(4), c(1)-g(1), d(0)-h(2).

The representations of \mathscr{S}_j can thus always be regarded as SD configurations of two kinds of charges corresponding to subset sums $\mathscr{S}_{i}(u_{ij}) + \mathscr{S}_{i}^{*}(u_{ij}^{*})$ in which $u_{ii}^* = 0$ and need not be treated separately. The nonzero charges are distributed among the vertices of centrosymmetric polyhedra \mathcal{P}_i of V_i vertices and symmetry m3m, m3 or 53m; not all the vertices of a polyhedron need be occupied by nonzero charges. The polyhedra \mathcal{P}_i are 'concentric', *i.e.* they share the geometric centre of gravity in s_0 . When s_0 and s are points of a crystallographic lattice, only arrangements of cubic symmetry are admissible. The point-group symmetry of the polyhedra is then governed by the site symmetry at s_0 . True icosahedral ZEFG configurations could exist in large rigid polyhedral ions or molecules containing charge maxima on the individual constituent atoms ('localized' charge), or in similar charge assemblies that are not lattice-bound in the crystallographic sense, and would then be of importance in certain types of nuclear resonance experiments.

Construction of ZEFG configurations

The conditions necessary for the construction of ZEFG configurations follow immediately from the preceding:

(5) The configuration \mathscr{C} must be embedded in a centrosymmetric isogonal, *i.e.* platonic $(\mathscr{P}\ell)$ or Archimedean (\mathscr{A} , excluding prisms and antiprisms), polyhedron \mathscr{P} of V vertices inscribed in a sphere, or in a number of such polyhedra inscribed in concentric spheres. The isohedral duals of \mathscr{A} (the Catalan polyhedra, \mathscr{A}^*), whose vertex coordinates cannot be generated from a *single* triplet of coordinate numbers $\varepsilon, \eta, \lambda$, must be treated as combinations of isogonal polyhedra properly oriented relative to one another.

(6) For $2n \le V$ kinds of charges A_i , $i=1,2,\ldots,2n$, the configuration \mathscr{C} must be self-dual, *i.e.* one in which pairs of vertices related by the centre of symmetry of \mathscr{P} are occupied by pairs of different charges (A_1, A_{2n}) , (A_2, A_{2n-1}) etc., satisfying the condition $A_1 + A_{2n} =$ $A_2 + A_{2n-1} = \ldots = A_n + A_{n+1}$. All centrosymmetric charge pairs are then polar, hence the point group of \mathscr{C} is a noncentrosymmetric subgroup of the point group of \mathscr{P} .

(7) 2n+1 kinds of charges A_i cannot all be different because of $A_1 + A_{2n+1} = A_2 + A_{2n} = \ldots = A_{n-1} + A_{n+3} = A_n + A_{n+2} = 2A_{n+1}$, and neutral charge pairs (A_{n+1}, A_{n+1}) will be present. \mathscr{C} is then SD relative to the nonneutral charge pairs.

A configuration is regarded as distinct if it cannot be brought to coincidence, by rotation or reflexion or both, with a configuration already listed.

Because of the considerable complexity of the sub-

[‡] Use has been made of this fact in an analysis of the origin of the quadrupole splitting in the Mössbauer spectrum of cubic (disordered) LiFeO₂ (Ayasse, 1972; Knop, Ayasse, Meads, Parker & Woodhams, 1975).

ject only the case of two kinds of charge will be treated in detail. Here the charge composition of \mathscr{C} is restricted to $A_{V/2}B_{V/2}$.

For small values of V construction of all the SD 2colour (SD2C) configurations on \mathcal{P} and elimination of duplicates can be accomplished relatively easily by inspection of drawings or models, but for large values of V this procedure is prohibitive unless adapted to computer search by exhaustion. When dealing with composite SD configurations a reduction of effort can be attained as follows. A vector sum \mathcal{Z}_i , $i=1,2,\ldots,z$, of the radius vectors (from s_0) of all the vertices containing one kind of charge is formed for each of the z constituent SD configurations \mathcal{C}_i . Leaving \mathcal{Z}_1 stationary, the other z-1 vector sums are permuted over all the permissible orientations on \mathcal{P}_1 . This is equivalent to reducing each \mathcal{C}_i to a point on \mathcal{P}_1 and forming all the distinct configurations of the z points.

In a later section we shall examine some of the properties of the SD2C configurations on polyhedra of $V \le 20$. However, as a check on the completeness of our listing,[‡] and to form an idea of what would be involved in extending the construction of such configurations beyond V=20, we shall first seek the total number N_1 of distinct SD configurations $A_{V/2}B_{V/2}$ on polyhedra \mathcal{P} , *i.e.* the number of distinct decompositions $\mathcal{S}_j + \mathcal{S}_j^*$. For convenience of description we shall formulate the problem in terms of two colours (black and white) instead of two kinds of charges. Two related enumeration problems will be considered at the same time:

(a) What is the total number N_2 of distinct selfcomplementary (SC) two-colourings of the vertices of \mathscr{P} in which the configuration is unchanged on interchanging the colours? Since all SD configurations are SC, $N_2 \ge N_1$.

(b) What is the total number N_3 of distinct twocolourings of \mathcal{P} with an equal number of vertices of each colour?

All these questions are answered below using the enumeration theory developed by Redfield and by Pólya (cf. Harary & Palmer, 1973; Palmer & Robinson 1975). Evaluation of N_3 on convex polyhedra in general has been dealt with by Knop, Barker & White (1975), who have tabulated the values of N_3 also for the various subgroup symmetries of the polyhedra discussed in the present paper.

Enumeration of SD two-colourings

Let p be a positive integer and consider the points on the sphere of radius \sqrt{p} whose coordinates are all integers. These points correspond to the integer solutions of

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = p . (1)$$

[‡] The configurations listed in Table 7 were obtained without recourse to computer search.

Each such point (x_i, y_i, z_i) has an opposite or dual vertex $(-x_i, -y_i, -z_i)$ that is also a solution. When p=1, two of the variables must be zero while the other is +1 or -1. Hence there are six solutions and the rotations or reflexions of the sphere which permute these six solutions constitute a representation of the octahedral group of order 48 and degree six. Solutions which have two coordinates equal to zero are called type I solutions. There are five other different types, each of which has associated with it a different isogonal polyhedron and a different transitive representation of the octahedral group (Table 2).

Table 2. The six types of solution for p = 1

Type	Polyhedron	V	Typical vertex
I	Octahedron	6	$(\varepsilon, 0, 0)$
II	Cuboctahedron	12	$(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0)$
III	Cube	8	$(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$
IV	Truncated octahedron	24	$(\varepsilon,\eta,0)$
V	Truncated cube	24	$(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \eta)$
VI	Truncated cuboctahedron	48	$(\varepsilon,\eta,\lambda)$

In Table 3 we display all the different terms in the cycle indices of these six representations of the octahedral group. The variables s_k correspond to SD cycles in which k/2 opposite pairs of points are permuted; the term t_k^2 corresponds to a pair of cycles, each permuting the k opposite points of the other. A few simple observations facilitate the compilation of the entries for the reflections. They can all be obtained from the corresponding rotation entry by the following rules: (i) t_{2k+1}^2 always becomes $s_{2(2k+1)}^1$ and vice versa; (ii) t_{2k}^2 and s_{4k}^1 are always unchanged.

Table 3. The cycle indices of the six types of representations of the octahedral group of order 48

Stewart's (1970) book has a section whose content includes essentially the information listed here under types I, II and III.

Number	of		Ту	pe		
permutation	ons I	II	III	IV	v	γı
		Rot	ations			
1 6 3 8 6	$t_{1}^{6} \\ s_{4}^{4} t_{1}^{2} \\ s_{2}^{2} t_{1}^{2} \\ t_{3}^{2} \\ s_{2}^{1} t_{2}^{2}$	t_{1}^{12} $s_{4}^{1t_{4}}$ $s_{2}^{2t_{4}}$ $s_{2}^{2t_{2}}$ t_{3}^{4} $s_{2}^{1t_{1}^{2}}$	t_{1}^{8} t_{2}^{4} t_{2}^{4} t_{1}^{4} $s_{2}^{2}t_{2}^{2}$	t_{1}^{24} $s_{4}^{2}t_{4}^{4}$ $s_{4}^{4}t_{2}^{8}$ t_{3}^{8} t_{2}^{12}	t_{1}^{24} t_{4}^{6} t_{2}^{12} t_{3}^{8} $s_{2}^{2t}t_{2}^{10}$	t 48 t 1 t 4 t 2 t 2 t 3 t 2 t 2 t 2 t 2
		Ref	lections			
1 6 3 8 6		S_{2}^{6} $S_{4}^{4}t_{4}^{2}$ $t_{1}^{4}t_{2}^{4}$ S_{6}^{2} $S_{2}^{2}t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{4}$	$ \begin{array}{r} S & \frac{4}{2} \\ t & \frac{2}{4} \\ t & \frac{4}{2} \\ S & \frac{1}{2}S & \frac{1}{6} \\ t & \frac{1}{4}t & \frac{2}{2} \end{array} $			S 24 t 12 t 24 t 24 S 64 t 24 t 24

Table 3 can be used to calculate N_1 , N_2 , and N_3 for any combination of the six different types of solutions of equation (1). This is important when the polyhedron is isohedral (\mathscr{A}^*) or when it is desired to consider combinations of concentric isogonal polyhedra that do not produce an isogonal polyhedron.

Example 5. Consider the lattice points which are solutions of the equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \le 3$. There is one solution of type I, one of type II, and one of type III. Using Table 3 to express the cycle index of the octahedral group represented as a permutation group on the points of solution I (octahedron) gives the following result: $(\frac{1}{48}) [t_1^6 + 6s_4^1t_1^2 + 3s_2^2t_1^2 + 8t_3^2 + 6s_2^1t_2^2 + s_2^3 + 6s_2^1s_4^1 +$ $3s_{2}^{1}t_{1}^{4} + 8s_{6}^{1} + 6t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}$; the divisor 48 is the order of the group. In applying the enumeration theorem for SD2C configurations, we set $s_k=0$ and $t_k=\sqrt{2}$ and obtain $N_1=(\frac{1}{4\cdot 8})(2^3+8\times 2+6\times 2\times 2)=1$, *i.e.* there is only one SD2C configuration on the octahedron. The three solutions can be combined to produce a type I + II + III Catalan polyhedron of V = 26, the *hexoctahedron*. Each term of the cycle index for this combination is a product of the corresponding terms for types I, II, and III: $(\frac{1}{48}) [(t_1^6) (t_1^{12}) (t_1^8) + 6(s_4^1 t_1^2) (s_4^1 t_4^2) (t_4^2) + 3(s_2^2 t_1^2)$ $(s_2^2 t_2^4)$ $(t_2^4) + ...$]. Setting $s_k = 0$ and $t_k = \sqrt{2}$ gives $N_1 =$ 176.

Table 4. The vertices of the polyhedra of symmetry 53m

Type	Polyhedron	V	Typical vertex
vii	Icosahedron	12	$(\varepsilon,\eta,0)$
VIII	Dodecahedron [†]	20	$(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon), (\eta, \lambda, 0)$
IX	Icosidodecahedron	30	$(\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{-1},\eta), (\lambda,0,0)$
Х	Truncated icosahedron	60	
XI	Truncated icosidodecahedron	120	

 \dagger The vertex coordinates of the *crystallographic* pentagondodecahedron (*m*3) are of the same type but the polyhedron has two kinds of edges. For illustrations of the icosahedral solids see Nowacki (1933).

Table 5. The cycle indices of representations of theicosahedral group of order 120

Number of permutations	s VII	VIII	Type IX	x	XI
1	t 12	Rotation t^{20}	ns t_1^{30}	t_{1}^{60}	t_{1}^{120}
24	$t_{1}^{2}t_{5}^{2}$	15	t\$	$t_{5}^{\hat{1}2}$	$t\frac{2}{5}^{4}$
20	t ⁴ ₃	$t_{1}^{2}t_{3}^{6}$	t_{3}^{10}	t_{3}^{20}	t_{3}^{40}
15	$s_{2}^{2}t_{2}^{4}$	s 2t 2	$t_{1}^{2}s_{2}^{2}t_{2}^{12}$	$S_{2}^{2}t_{2}^{20}$	t_{2}^{00}
		Reflexic	ons		
1	S 2	s ¹⁰ ₂	s215	s ₂ ³⁰	S 2 60
24	$s_{2}^{1}s_{10}^{1}$	S 10	S_{10}^{3}	S 10	S 12
20	S 6	S256	sõ	S610	SÉ0
15	t ₁ t ₂	t ₁ ⁴ t ₂ ⁸	$s_{2}^{1}t_{1}^{4}t_{2}^{12}$	$t_{1}^{4}t_{2}^{20}$	t 200

The same approach applies to colouring the vertices of the polyhedra of symmetry 53m (Tables 4, 5). Once the terms for the rotations are found, the same rules (i) and (ii) can be used to obtain entries for the reflexions. In complete analogy with the cubic polyhedra, the cycle-index terms for a polyhedron of a composite type are obtained simply by multiplying the corresponding terms for the constituent types. For example, the terms for the trisicosahedron (V=32) are obtained by multiplying the corresponding terms for VII and VIII in Table 5; the terms for the trapezoidal hexecontahedron (V=62) are found by multiplying the corresponding terms for VII, VIII and IX. This 'aufbau' principle can be extended indefinitely to con-

Table 6. The numbers of distinct self-dual (N_1) , self-complementary (N_2) , and all (N_3) configurations on centrosymmetric polyhedra with V/2 black and V/2 white vertices

V	Polyhedron ¹	Туре	N_1	N_2	N_3	$100N_{1}/N_{3}$
6	Octahedron $(\mathcal{P}\ell)$	Ι	1	2	2	50
8	Cube $(\mathcal{P}l; \mathcal{D}[octahedron])$	III	3	6	6	50
12	Cuboctahedron (A)	II	3	8	30	10
12	Icosahedron $(\mathcal{P}l)$	VII	4	10	18	22.22
14	Tetrahexahedron ² (\mathscr{A}^* ;					
	D[truncated octahedron])	I, III	8	22	102	7.84
18	Augmented cuboctahedron	I, II	12	16	1154	1.04
20	Dodecahedron ($\mathcal{P}l$;					
	D[icosahedron])	VIII	20	140	1648	1.21
20	Augmented cuboctahedron	II, III	28	96	4078	0.69
20	Pentagonal dodecahedron (m3)		56	176	7820	0.72
24	Truncated cube ³ (\mathscr{A})	V	114	1128	56846	0.20
24	Truncated octahedron (A)	IV	120	1384	57168	0.21
26	Hexoctahedron ⁴ (<i>A</i> [*] ;					
	$\mathcal{D}[trunc. cuboctahedron])$	I, II, III	176	192	219952	0.08
30	Icosidodecahedron (A)	IX	280	280	1295266	0.05
32	Trisicosahedron ⁵ (#*;					
	𝒴[trunc. dodecahedron])	VII, VIII	688	8752	5024564	0.01
48	Truncated cuboctahedron (\mathscr{A})	VI	351120	6642048	10	10
60	Truncated icosahedron ⁶ (\mathscr{A})	Х	8956224	143165760	10	10
62	Trapezoidal hexecontahedron ⁷					
	$(\mathscr{A}^*; \mathscr{D}[rhombicosidodecahedron])$	VII, VIII, IX	17896064	17896064	10	10
120	Truncated icosidodecahedron (A)	XI	8	9	10	10

¹*Pl*, Platonic; *A*, Archimedean; *A**, Catalan; *D*, dual polyhedron. ²Or trisoctahedron (*A**; *D*[truncated cube]) or rhombic dodecahedron (*A**; *D*[cuboctahedron]). ³Or rhombicuboctahedron (*A*). ⁴Or deltoid trapezohedron (*A**; *D*[rhombicuboctahedron]). ⁵Or rhombic triacontahedron (*A**; *D*[icosidodecahedron]) or pentakisdodecahedron (*A**; *D*[truncated icosahedron]).⁶Or truncated dodecahedron (*A*) or rhombicosidodecahedron (*A*). ⁷Or hecatonicosahedron (hexicosahedron; *A**, *D*[truncated icosidodecahedron]). ⁸9,607,679,473,668,096. ⁹297,838,055,356,944,384. ¹⁰See text for expressions permitting these very large or very small numbers to be estimated.

Table 7. Self-dual two-colour configurations C on some polyhedra, their dichromatic (G) and one-colour (M) symmetries and connectivity symbols (C.S.)

C	G	Μ	C.S.	С	G	М	C.S.
			Octal	hedron			
1 abc	3'm	3m	0300-3				
1. 400	5	5	0500 5	Cube			
1. abcd	4/ <i>m' mm</i>	4 <i>mm</i>	0400-4	3. acfh	m'3m	4 3 <i>m</i>	0004–0
2. abch	<u>3</u> 'm	3 <i>m</i>	1030- 3				
			Cubo	octabedron			
1 abadat	211		12200 8	2 abaikm	2'/m	111	00321- 4
1. abcaej 2. abceim	$\frac{2}{3}$	3	12300 - 8	5. <i>abcjkm</i>	2/m	m	00321- 4
2. ubcejm	5	5	05050- 0				
			Icosahed	ron			
1. abcdef	$\overline{5}'m$	5 <i>m</i>	105000-10	3. abcejm	$\underline{3}'m$	3 <i>m</i>	003030- 6
2. abcdem	$\overline{3}'m$	3 <i>m</i>	030300- 9	4. abdikm	$\overline{5}'m$	5 <i>m</i>	000501- 5
			Tetrah	exahedron*			
1 abediik	2'/m	т	0131200-12	5 abchikm	2'/m	m	0013030- 8
2 abcdikm	$\frac{2}{m}$	m	001200-12 0012301-8	6 abchimp	$\frac{2}{3}$ 'm	3m	0001330 - 6
3 abchiik	$\frac{2}{m}$	m	0112210-10	7. acfhiik	$\frac{3}{3}m$	3 <i>m</i>	0001330- 6
4. abchikn	$\frac{1}{3}m$	3 <i>m</i>	1006000-12	8. acfhikn	$\frac{3}{3}m$	3 <i>m</i>	0000601- 6
	.		Augmented cu	boctanedron, $V = 18$.		
1. abcdefnpq	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1133100-17	7. abcejmnst	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0023130-11
2. abcdefnpt	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0231210-15	8. abcejmrst	5	3	0003330- 9
3. abcdefpqr	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	0123201-13	9. abcjkmnpq		1	0022320-11
4. abcaejpri	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0023211-11	10. abcjkmnpt	$\frac{1}{1}$	1	0014301-11
5. abcejmnpq	5	3	0303300-15	11. abcjkmpqr	$\frac{1}{1}$	1	0002421- 8
6. abcejmnpi	1	1	0123120-13	12. adcjkmpri	1	1	0002302- 8
			Augmented cu	boctahedron, $V=20$			
1. abcdefnpgr	2'/m	m	1214200-18	15. abcejmpgrs	T'	1	0022321-11
2. abcdefnpqv	ī'	1	11 2 4110–17	16. abcejmprsu	3'	3	0030601-12
3. abcdefnpuv	2'/m	т	1042120-16	17. abcejmąstv	3'	3	0003331- 9
4. abcdefnqrt	<u>ī</u> ′	1	0222301-15	18. abcejmstuv	1'	1	0013231-10
5. abcdefnqtv	$\overline{1}'$	1	0124111-14	19. abcjkmnpgr	<u>2'</u> /m	m	0030601-12
6. abcdefnrtu	\underline{l}'	1	0213211-14	20. abcjkmnpqv	1'	1	0012430-11
7. abcdefntuv	1'	1	0123121-13	21. abcjkmnpuv	$\frac{2'}{m}$	m	0012340-10
8. abcdefqrst	$\frac{2'}{m}$	m	0040402-12	22. abcjkmngrt	$\frac{1'}{1}$	1	0013411-11
9. abcdefqstv	1'	1	0023212-11	23. abcjkmnqtv	$\frac{1}{1}$	1	0003430-10
10. abcdefstuv	$\frac{2^{\prime}}{m}$	m	0014122-10	24. abcjkmnrtu		1	0012340-10
11. abcejmnpqr		1	0212320-14	25. abcjkmntuv	1	1	0002440- 9
12. abcejmnpqv	1	1	0122230-13	26. abcjkmqrst	$\frac{2}{T'}m$	<i>m</i>	0004321-10
15. abcejmnpru	3	3	0301000-15	27. abcjkmqsiv	$\frac{1}{2'}$	1	0002440- 9
14. uocejningio	3	3	0031330-12	26. abcjkmstav	2 / 11		000040- 0
			Dodecahe	dron (platonic)			
1. abcdijkmnp	$\frac{2}{2}$	m	4420-11	11. abcdimnrsv	$\frac{2}{5}$	m	0244-4
2. abcaijkmnv	5'm	5 <i>m</i>	5050-10	12. abcdimrsuv	$\frac{5}{1}m$	5 <i>m</i>	0505- 5
5. abcaijkmpu	$\frac{2}{3}$	m	3430-10	15. abcdkmnpqr	1	1	1331- /
4. apcaijknpt	3 m	5 <i>m</i>	4000-12	14. abcaknpqri	$\frac{2}{3}$ /m	m 3 m	0040- 8 1000 4
5. ubcuijmnps 6. abodikmnr	$\frac{2}{T'}$	m 1	2422- ŏ 1521 ₽	15. aucangrsiv	$\frac{5}{3'}$	3	1630 Q
0. ubcuikmnpr 7. abcdikmnr	1 2'/m	1	1331-0	10. abchijkmpu 17. abchijmnen	3 2'/m	5 m	1252- 6
8 abcdiknnt	2/11 3'm	3m	2242- / 0901_ 0	18 abchikmpr	2'/m	m	0460-7
9 abcdikrtuv	2'/m	m	2521- 9	19 abchimners	3, "	3	0361- 6
10, abcdimnprs	$\frac{2}{2}m$	m	0343 - 5	20, abchimnasv	$\frac{3}{3}$ 'm	3 <i>m</i>	0064-3

* The connectivity symbols for the rhombic dodecahedron and the trisoctahedron, which are vertex-isomorphic with the tetrahexahedron, are

Rhombic dodecahedron	Trisoctahedron
1. 0011410-8	1021210-11
2. 0000322-4	0020221-7
3. 0002221-6	0202120-10
4. 0004300-9	0301300-12
5. 0001231-5	0102211-8
6. 0000061-3	0000061- 3
7.0001330-6	0031030- 9
8,0000601-6	0030301-9

centric centrosymmetric polyhedral shells of charges in structural arrangements based on the cubic Bravais lattices (see below). Here of course it is appropriate to speak of assemblies of points rather than of convex polyhedra. The contribution to the EFG at s_0 due to charges in each separate shell must each be zero.

The number N_2 of *self-complementary* configurations is obtained from the cycle index of a polyhedron by the substitutions $s_{2n} = t_{2n} = 2$, $s_{2n+1} = t_{2n+1} = 0$. The number N_3 of *all* two-coloured configurations is found by substituting for s_n and t_n the generating function $1 + x^n$; it is equal to the coefficient of the $x^{V/2}$ term in the expanded cycle-index polynomial.

The values of N_1 , N_2 , and N_3 for the $\mathscr{P}\ell$, \mathscr{A} and \mathscr{A}^* polyhedra are listed in Table 6. For the icosidodecahedron and the trapezoidal hexecontahedron the interesting result is obtained that $N_1 = N_2$, *i.e.* all the two-coloured SC configurations are SD, while for other polyhedra N_2 may exceed N_1 many times.

The fraction N_1/N_3 decreases steadily with increasing V: for V=26 only about eight in 10⁴ distinct blackwhite configurations are SD. The consequences of this decrease for the properties of point-charge assemblies on crystallographic lattices are discussed below.

As V increases, the terms following t_1^V in the cycleindex polynomial for N_1 become rapidly unimportant. N_1 can thus be estimated from the expressions $\ln N_1(\text{cubic}) \simeq V/2 - \ln 48$ and $\ln N_1(\text{icosahedral}) \simeq V/2$ $-\ln 120$, the accuracy of the estimate increasing asymptotically with V. Similarly, the fraction N_1/N_3 can be estimated from the expressions $\ln (N_1/N_3) \simeq$ $V/2 - \ln_{V/2}C_V - \ln 48$ and $\ln (N_1/N_3) \simeq V/2 - \ln_{V/2}C_V - \ln 120$.



Fig. 1. Mapping of the SD2C configurations on the octahedron, cube, cuboctahedron and icosahedron (Table 7) into topologically equivalent graphs \mathscr{G} . The points of each graph correspond to vertices of one colour.

Extension to more than two colours

The number N_1 of distinct SD multicharge configurations is given by the number of distinct charge permutations over the black (or the white) vertices of the two-coloured configurations (Table 7). It is obtained from the cycle index of the two-coloured configuration of symmetry **M** by substituting for s_n and t_n the generating function $\alpha^n + \beta^n + \gamma^n + \ldots$, where the number of terms is the number of distinct types of charge pairs, and determining the coefficient of the appropriate term in the expanded cycle-index polynomial. The values of these coefficients are available for a large variety of V values and symmetries (Knop, Barker & White, 1975).

For three and four colours each set of vertices of the same colour will be an SD configuration if and only if the three or four-colouring corresponds to a decomposition $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}_j + \mathscr{S}_j^* + \mathscr{S}_j^* + \mathscr{S}_j^{**}$; for three-colourings one of the two complementary pairs of subsets must satisfy $\mathscr{S}_j = \mathscr{S}_j^*$.

Properties of SD configurations on some of the polyhedra

Before listing the distinct SD2C configurations for the first few values of V it is necessary to identify the vertices of the suitably oriented polyhedra (Table 1). The identifying letters are used to indicate vertices of one colour and the resulting grouping of letters is reduced to the lowest equivalent lexicographic permutation (Table 7); permutations obtained from the configuration by rotation or reflection or both are regarded as equivalent. Each SD2C configuration listed is also mapped into a topologically equivalent planar graph \mathscr{G} (Figs. 1–5)‡ corresponding to vertices of one colour.§ The graph is described by a connectivity symbol. For

§ This mapping is not unique: the graph for configurations Nos. 6 and 7 on the tetrahexahedron is an example of homomorphism. Configurations Nos. 16 and 19 on the augmented cuboctahedron (V=20) have identical connectivity symbols, and likewise Nos. 21 and 24, and 25 and 27. With polyhedra other than isogonal, several different polyhedra may have the same number of vertices but the valencies of the vertices will differ. The rhombic dodecahedron, the tetrahexahedron, and the trisoctahedron all have V = 14, but their vertex valencies are, respectively, 4 and 3, 6 and 4, and 8 and 3. Adjacence of vertices along edges, for a given V, is then not uniquely defined and the topologically equivalent graphs (and connectivity symbols) of the SD2C configurations will be different (Fig. 2). However, N_1, N_2, N_3, M, G , and the Coulombic energy depend only on the relative positions of the point charges at the vertices and not on the number and type of edges, the latter being in the present context merely an artifice to aid visualization; any one of the V-equivalent polyhedra can be used for verbal reference. The equivalent polyhedra are listed in the footnotes of Table 6.

[‡] Figs. 3 and 4, and Tables 8, 10 and 11 have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 30597 (12 pp., 1 microfiche). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1 NZ, England.

example, 12300-8 for the configuration *abcdef* on the cuboctahedron stand for $4^{1}3^{2}2^{3}1^{0}0^{0}$ -8, which indicates that \mathscr{G} contains one tetravalent node, two trivalent, three divalent, no end points and no isolated points; the nodes are connected by eight edges which correspond with edges of the black half of the configuration on the cuboctahedron. Finally the symmetry groups $G(\mathscr{C})$ and $M(\mathscr{C})$ are also listed.

With the exception of configuration no. 3 on the cube the **M** symmetries of the SD2C configurations are of one of the following types for all the polyhedra listed in Table 7: 1,m,3,3m,4mm,5m. For the composite polyhedra [tetrahexahedron=I+III, augmented cuboctahedron=I+II (V=18) or II+III (V=20), etc.] this lack of variety is the result of superimposing two or more constituent SD configurations properly oriented relative to one another: the **M** symmetry of the resulting composite configuration is at most the intersection of the **M** symmetries of the constituent configurations.

For *n* similarly oriented 'nested' polyhedra of the same type the set of combinations of n SD configurations of the same type will contain 2^{n-1} composite configurations of the same unreduced M symmetry as the *n* constituent configurations. Thus with two similarly oriented concentric cubes (V=16) 19 SD2C configurations are possible, two of which have $M = \overline{4}3m$. These are the syn and anti combinations of the configurations acfh-acfh. They are examples of the rare situation where the G symmetry of an SD configuration containing more than one kind of charge is cubic, and of the even rarer situation where both G and M are cubic. Similar situations obtain with the truncated cube, the rhombicuboctahedron and the truncated cuboctahedron. The last-named case is particularly interesting in that a polyhedron which is a solution to equation (1) yields two SD2C configurations of cubic M symmetry, $\overline{4}3m$ and 432, so that for two concentric truncated cuboctahedra in similar orientations, in addition to the combinations $\overline{4}3m-\overline{4}3m$ (two of M = $\overline{4}3m$) and 432-432 (two of M=432), two other combinations exist, $\overline{43m}$ -432 and 432- $\overline{43m}$, both of symmetry M = 23. Similar situations exist for other combinations of configurations of cubic M symmetry. For cubic M symmetry to obtain, the black vertices of & must all be inside the octants of the axial reference system and not in the axial planes.

When \mathscr{G} is totally disconnected, *i.e.* when no two of its points are adjacent (along an edge of \mathscr{G}), its chromatic number $\chi(\mathscr{G}) = 1.1$ Stated differently, \mathscr{G} is one-chromatic: *one* colour suffices for each of the points of \mathscr{G} to have no adjacent points of the same colour. There is only one such graph among those shown here, that of configuration No. 3 on the cube. Graphs with $\chi = 2$

are more numerous, 20 out of the total of 79 in Figs. 1-5 (Table 8).§

§ Table 8 has been deposited. See footnote ‡ on p. 25.



Fig. 2. Mapping of the SD2C configurations on the three cubic convex polyhedra of V=14 (Table 7) into topologically equivalent graphs \mathscr{G} . A, tetrahexahedron; B, rhombic dodecahedron; C, trisoctahedron.



Fig. 5. Mapping of the SD2C configurations on the (platonic) dodecahedron (Table 7) into topologically equivalent graphs *I*.

[‡] For a more precise definition and criteria of chromaticity see Harary (1969). In particular, (1) $\chi(\mathscr{G}) \leq 2$ if and only if \mathscr{G} has no odd cycles; (2) every planar graph has $\chi(\mathscr{G}) \leq 5$ (the Four Colour Conjecture asserts that $\chi(\mathscr{G}) \leq 4$); and (3) every planar graph with fewer than four triangles has $\chi(\mathscr{G}) \leq 3$.

The chromatic number $\chi(\mathscr{G})$ is of interest for the following reason. When $\chi = 1$, the black and white vertices of the configuration alternate; the nearest neighbours of a charge A on \mathcal{P} are charges B and vice versa. When $\chi = 2$ and the charges are A, B, C, D (arranged in centrosymmetric pairs A, D and B, C), the points of \mathscr{G} correspond to vertices of \mathscr{P} of more than one colour. One or several four-colour arrangements on \mathcal{P} then exist in which no charge has a charge of the same kind as its nearest neighbour. When $\gamma = 3$. the minimum number of colours required to colour \mathcal{G} in such a manner is three; this corresponds to six kinds of charges arranged in three types of centrosymmetric charge pairs. For a given composition, *i.e.* for a given number q of distinct centrosymmetric charge pairs, arrangements of this kind are possible only for SD configurations for which $\chi(\mathscr{G}) \leq q$. Such arrangements on isogonal polyhedra[‡] permit the charge density on the surface of \mathcal{P} to be more evenly distributed than any other arrangements, and the Coulombic energies of such configurations will be low.

As would be expected, SD2C configurations whose graphs are trees of V points are rare (Table 8).

Embedding of ZEFG configurations: ZEFG structures

Under certain conditions cubic ZEFG charge configurations can be embedded in compatible point-charge crystal structures. The centres of coordination polyhedra containing the ZEFG configurations and defined by lattice points, form one or several three-dimensional lattice complexes LC. For homogeneous (i.e. containing charges of one kind only) ZEFG configurations to be so embeddable it is necessary that the site symmetry at points of LC be cubic. This requirement can be satisfied in all the cubic space groups except $P2_13$, $I2_13$, Pa3, Ia3, $P4_132$, $P4_332$, $I4_132$, $I\overline{4}3d$ and Ia3d, possible lattice complexes being Pm3m(a), Fm3m(a), Im3m(a), Fd3m(a) (cf. Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen, 1935). The resulting arrangement of point charges is a structure of infinite extension which has ZEFG at all points of LC, *i.e.* a ZEFG structure in LC. Representations of such abstract arrangements would be, for example, idealized structures of the NaCl or CsCl types, both of which are ZEFG in both the cation and the anion LC.

Cubic *two-colour* ZEFG charge configurations can only be embedded if the site symmetry at the centres of the coordination polyhedra (*i.e.* at points of LC) is *centrosymmetric* cubic, *m3m* or *m3*. Hence only the space-group symmetries S in Table 9 are admissible for the *undifferentiated* structure.

Table 9. Admissible space-group symmetries S for the undifferentiated structure

Site symmetry	S	Point-position	LC
m3m	Pm3m	1(a); 1(b)	Pm3m(a)
	Fm3m	4(a); 4(b)	Fm3m(a)
	Im3m	2(a)	Im3m(a)
<i>m</i> 3	Pm3n	2(a)	Im3m(a)
	Fm3c	8(b)	Pm3m(a)
	Pm3	1(a); 1(b)	Pm3m(a)
	Fm3	4(a); 4(b)	Fm3m(a)
	Im3	2(a)	Im3m(a)

Embedding a SD2C configuration on a compatible polyhedron in a lattice having one of these S symmetries results in a structure of dichromatic space-group symmetry G_s containing a LC whose points have the symmetry \mathcal{G}_s containing a LC whose points have the site symmetry $\overline{1}'$. Points of this complex are therefore grey, and the symmetry of LC is restricted to P2/m(a), C2/m(a), Pmmm(a), Cmmm(a), Fmmm(a), Immm(a), Fddd(c), P4/mmm(a), I4/mmm(a), I4/amd(c), $R\overline{3}m(a, d)$, Pm3m(a), Fm3m(a), Im3m(a,b), Fd3m(a,c); additional symmetries are admissible as a result of special choice of parameter values (*cf. Internationale Tabellen*, 1935). The *geometry* of LC, as distinct from the symmetry of the charge arrangement, is of course always cubic.

The symmetry of a two-colour ZEFG structure can also be described by the monochromatic subgroup M_s of index two in G_s . This subgroup is related to a monochromatic group $G_{s,m}$ isomorphic with G_s : $M_s \times a_2$ is equal to $G_{s,m}$ if a_2 is a symmetry operation and to G_s if a_2 is an antisymmetry (= colour) operation. The pairs $G_{s,m}|M_s$ defining G_s have been tabulated by Koptsik (1966) (Tables 10 and $\pi 8-\pi 12$). $G_{s,m}$ is the group which results when a structure of symmetry M_s disorders relative to the charges connected by the \overline{I}' anticentres of LC.

Example 6. The solitary SD2C configuration *abc* on the octahedron is of symmetry $\mathbf{M}=3m$, $\mathbf{G}=\overline{3}'m$. It can be embedded in a structure containing sites of symmetry $\overline{3}m$ (corresponding to $\mathbf{G}_{s,\mathbf{m}}$) on a LC of one of the above admissible types. Thus we have to find a space group $\mathbf{G}_{s,\mathbf{m}}$ containing sites of symmetry $\overline{3}m$ on a lattice complex LC($\overline{3}m$) and a corresponding space group \mathbf{M}_{s} containing sites of symmetry 3m on a LC(3m) of the same type. Of the possible space groups (*cf. Internationale Tabellen*, 1935, chaps. VII and VIII),

only $R\overline{3}m$ has a subgroup \mathbf{M}_{s} containing a LC(3m) of the same type as that occurring in $\mathbf{G}_{s, m}$. This is $R\overline{3}m$, LC = $R\overline{3}m(a)$ in point-position 1(a). The configuration abc can thus only exist in a structure of symmetry $\mathbf{G}_{s, m}|\mathbf{M}_{s} = R\overline{3}m|R\overline{3}m$, *i.e.* $\mathbf{G}_{s} = R\overline{3}'m$ (III_{166}^{99}).

[‡] Where several non-isogonal polyhedra (cf. footnote §, p. 25) correspond to the same value of V, the adjacency of vertices, and the chromatic numbers of the topologically equivalent graphs \mathscr{G} , refer to the polyhedron with the smallest number of edges. For V=14 the appropriate \mathscr{P} is the rhombic dodecahedron (Fig. 2), for V=26 the deltoid trapezohedron, for V=32 the rhombic triacontahedron, and for V=62 the trapezoidal hexecontahedron. All of these have $\chi=2$.

Similarly, the only $G_{s.m}|M_s$ combination corresponding to the SD2C configuration on the cube *acfh* $(m3m|\overline{4}3m)$ in which LC(m3m) is of the same type as LC($\overline{4}3m$), is $Fm3m|F\overline{4}3m$, $G_s = Fm3m'$ ($\underline{u}_{225}^{119}$), LC = Fm3m(a), point-positions 4(*a*) and 4(*b*) of Fm3m. Obviously as the site symmetry of \mathscr{C} decreases, the number of possible $G_{s.m}|M_s$ pairs increases and the configuration can be embedded in a prescribed undifferentiated structure in more than one distinct ways. The possibility of admissible LCs being generated from special parameter values must not be left out of account.

Example 7. Consider embeddings of the SD2C configurations on the cube in a structure of the *fluorite* type, of composition MXX' and having ZEFG in M. For the undifferentiated (X = X') structure, $M_s = G_s =$ Fm3m: M in 4(a), X + X' in 8(c); the LC in 4(a) is Fm3m(a). Three such embeddings are shown in Table 10^t and Fig. 6 (top). In the embedding of acfh the sites 4(a), 4(c) and 4(d) do not contain centres of symmetry. However, their symmetry is cubic, $\overline{4}3m$, and so the arrangement has ZEFG not only in M but also in Xand X'. Since X and X' are segregated on their own lattice complexes, M_s describes the symmetry of the arrangement adequately, without recourse to G_s ; $G_{s,m} = Fm3m$ corresponds to a disordered X + X'population. Representations of this arrangement are the structures of the ternary alloy phases of type C_1b , FeSbTi and FeSbV (Krip'yakevich & Markiv, 1963; Gladyshevskii, Markiv, Kuz'ma & Cherkashin, 1963): Fe in 4(a), Sb in 4(c), Ti (or V) in 4(d), a triply ZEFG structure.

Example 8. The fluorite structure MXX' can be 'filled' by placing additional metal atoms in 4(b) to yield M_2XX' (Fig. 6, bottom). The new structure has ZEFG in M. For the undifferentiated (X=X') structure, $M_s = G_s = Fm3m$: *M* in 4(*a*) and 4(*b*), *X*+*X'* in 8(*c*); LC in 4(a) and 4(b), Fm3m(a). This unit cell may be considered as an octuple unit cell of the CsCl= $M(X_{1/2}X'_{1/2})$ type. The arrangement for acf h resembles that in Example 7 in that it has ZEFG in all four types of sites, 4(a), 4(b), 4(c) and 4(d), all of symmetry $\overline{4}3m$, and is therefore fully described by M_s (Table 10). The arrangement described by $G_{s, m} = Fm3m$ corresponds to disorder relative to X and X', but if X = X', the idealized L2₁ (AlMnCu₂)-type structure results. For example, SnTiFe₂ and SnVFe₂ have been reported (Krip'yakevich & Markiv, 1963; Gladyshevskii et al., 1963) to contain Sn in 4(a), Ti (or V) in 4(b), and Fe in 8(c), again a triply ZEFG structure. The BiF₃ arrangement (AlFe₃, SiFe₃, SnNi₃) is of the same type except that the sites 4(b) and 8(c) of G_s are occupied by atoms of the same element, i.e. the formulae are properly written as AlFeFe₂ etc.

Example 9. Consider embeddings of the SD2C configurations on the cube, the octahedron and the cuboctahedron in a perovskite structure (Table 11,[‡] Fig. 7). The undifferentiated structure, ABX_3 , is taken to consist of eight simple perovskite unit cells (Pm3m, Z=1): $\mathbf{M}_{s} = \mathbf{G}_{s} = Fm3m$. Origin in A: A in 4(a) and 4(b), B in 8(c), X in 24(d). Origin in B: A in 8(c), B in 4(a) and 4(b), X in 24(e) { $\frac{1}{4}00$ }. The arrangement of charges in Fig. 7-3 corresponds to the idealized structure of $Cs_2Ag^IAu^{III}Cl_6$ and $Cs_2Au^IAu^{III}Cl_6$: $a_{cubic} =$ $a_{\text{tetra.}}/2 = c_{\text{tetra.}}, A = Cs, B = Ag \text{ or } Au^{\text{I}}, B' = Au^{\text{III}}, X = Cl(1) = Cl(2)$ (cf. also the cubic or pseudocubic compounds $Cs_4M(AuCl_6)_2$, M = Cu, Zn, Cd, Hg) (Wyckoff, 1963-1965). In the arrangements of Figs. 7-3 and 7-6 both the A and B sites are split into two point-positions. Ordering in $AA'BB'X_6$ perovskite structures is therefore in principle always possible (KBaTiNbO₄?, CaBaGeZrO₄?). The arrangement of charages shown in Fig. 7-6 corresponds to the idealized perovskite superstructure of the $(NH_6)_3FeF_6$ type: A = $A' = NH_4(1), B[4(a)] = Fe, B[4(b)] = NH_4(2), X = F.$

ZEFG in disordered structures

Consider an idealized structure of the CsCl type and of composition MX. One of the two interpenetrating p.c. lattices is occupied by point charges M and the other, by point charges X. Each M charge is surrounded by 6 M charges at a distance r_1 , 12 M charges at r_2 , 8 M charges at r_3 etc. These charges form concentric poly-

§ Table 11 has been deposited. See footnote ‡ on p. 25.



Fig. 6. Some ZEFG arrangements produced by embedding SD2C configurations on the cube in the cubic fluorite (MXX') and 'filled' fluorite (M_2XX') structures. A unit cell one quarter the size of the fluorite cell can be chosen for the M_2XX' arrangement based on *abcd*.

[‡] Table 10 has been deposited. See footnote [‡] on p. 25.

hedra \mathcal{P}_i of symmetry m3m about M and embedded in a p.c. lattice. Each M atom is also surrounded by 8 X at a distance r'_1 , 24 X at r'_2 , 24 X at r'_3 etc. These concentric polyhedra \mathcal{P}'_i of symmetry m3m are embedded in the b.c.c. lattice containing the M and Xatoms. This structure is thus a ZEFG structure with respect to both M and X.

However, when the composition is changed to $MX'_{1/2}X''_{1/2}$ and the charges X' and X'' replacing X are distributed at random, the probability that the vertices of a polyhedron \mathscr{P}'_i of V_i vertices will be occupied by n charges X' and $V_i - n$ charges X'' is $P(V_i, n) = V_i C_n \times 2^{-V_i}$. Consequently only a fraction $2^{-V_{i+1}}$ of the M atoms will be surrounded by polyhedra \mathscr{P}'_i of composition X'_{V_i} or X''_{V_i} , and $2^{-V_i} \times_{V_i} C_{V_i/2}$ of the M

7

atoms by polyhedra \mathscr{P}'_i of composition $X'_{VI/2}X''_{VI/2}$. All the other polyhedra \mathscr{P}'_i (accounting for a fraction $1-2^{-Vi+1}-2^{-Vi} \times_{VI} C_{VI/2}$ of the total) will have compositions that preclude the possibility of a ZEFG at their respective *M* atoms. Thus for the above structure and $V_1=8$ ($\mathscr{P}'_1=$ cube), the fraction of *M* atoms at which the charge on P'_1 produce ZEFG, is $2^{-8}[2+(N_1/N_3) \times_8 C_4]=37/256$ (~14.5%); for $V_2=24$ ($\mathscr{P}'_2=$ rhombicuboctahedron), $2^{-24}[2+(N_1/N_3) \times_{24} C_{12}]=$ 0.000323 (~0.032%); the fraction of *M* atoms at which the charges on \mathscr{P}'_1 and \mathscr{P}'_2 produce ZEFG is less than 0.005% of the total.

The fraction $2^{-V} \times_V C_{V/2}$ decreases with increasing V approximately as $(2/\pi V)^{1/2}$. However, the steady decrease of N_1/N_3 with increasing V is much more



Fig. 7. Some ZEFG arrangements produced by embedding SD2C configurations on the cube (1-6), octahedron (7) and cuboctahedron (8-10) in the cubic perovskite structure. Unit cells smaller than the octuple perovskite unit cell shown can be chosen for some of the arrangements.

8

9

10

rapid: for V=26 only about eight in 10⁴ distinct $X'_{13}X''_{13}$ configurations are SD (Table 6), so that the term $(N_1/N_3) \times 2^{-26} \times {}_{26}C_{13}$ is less than 0.0001 ~ 0.01 %. Moreover, $\sum V_i$ in crystallographic lattices or lattice complexes increases with the radius of the outermost polyhedral shell, *i.e.* $\sum V_i$ is the number of lattice points of a cubic lattice that are inside and on the spherical shell of radius $\sqrt{p} = f(i)$, *i* being the number of consecutive shells (Table 12).

Table 12. Number of sites surrounding a site s_0 Lattice type*

			·····		
i	P.c.	B.c.c.	NaCl(I)	NaCl(II)	$CaF_2(II_X)$
1	6	8	12	6	4
2	18	32	18	14	16
3	26	56	42	38	28
4	32	88	54	68	44
5	56	136	78	92	68

* Based on a tabulation by Wiley & Seman (1970). NaCltype structures: $M(s_0)-M$, NaCl(I); $M(s_0)-X$, NaCl(II).CsCltype structures: $M(s_0)-M$, p.c.; $M(s_0)-X$, b.c.c. CaF₂-type structures: $M(s_0)-M$, CaF₂(I_M)=NaCl(I); $M(s_0)=X$, CaF₂ (II_M)=b.c.c.; $X(s_0)-X$, CaF₂(I_X)=p.c.; $X(s_0)-M$,CaF₂(II_X).

The fraction of *homogeneous* configurations X'_{V} and X''_{V} decreases exponentially with increasing V: for V=12 it is less than 0.05% of all the $X'_{n}X''_{12-n}$ ($0 \le n \le 12$) configurations, and for V > 12 it is negligible.

It is seen that even a completely disordered form of a ZEFG structure contains SD charge configurations that produce ZEFG at their centres, but the fraction of such configurations becomes unimportant after the second coordination shell. This must be considered in conjunction with the fact that the EFG produced at s_0 by a charge u falls off with the inverse *cube* of the distance r of u from s_0 . The values of properties which depend linearly on EFG will thus be reduced in the ratio $(r_i/r_{i+1})^3$ when going from \mathcal{P}_i to \mathcal{P}_{i+1} (Table 13).

Tał	ble	13.	Ratios	(r_i)	r_{i+1}) ³ †	or	different	lattices
-----	-----	-----	--------	---------	-----------	------------------	----	-----------	----------

Lattice	$(r_2/r_1)^3$	$(r_3/r_1)^3$	$(r_4/r_1)^3$
PC or NaCl(I)	$2^{3/2} \sim 2.83$	$3^{3/2} \sim 5 \cdot 20$	$2^3 = 8$
NaCl(II)	$3^{3/2} \sim 5 \cdot 20$	$5^{3/2} \sim 11.18$	$3^3 = 27$
BCC or $CaF_2(II_X)$	$\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{3/2} \sim 7.02$	$\left(\frac{19}{3}\right)^{3/2} \sim 15.94$	$3^3 = 27$

One of the consequences of the existence of ZEFG configurations in disordered cubic ionic crystals is that, on the point-charge model, there will always be a certain non-zero calculated intensity of the Mössbauer absorption at zero quadrupole splitting from SD configurations of the type $X'_{Vi/2}X''_{Vi/2}$, but only the smallest coordination polyhedra about M^* will contribute significantly. The situation in cubic (disordered) LiFeO₂ has been analyzed from this point of view by Knop, Ayasse, Meads, Parker & Woodhams (1975).

Pseudo ZEFG structures

In a structure that does not contain a cubic lattice complex of site symmetry \overline{I}' the requirement for EFG

to be zero can still be satisfied, *locally*, if the space group of the structure contains symmetry operations that will produce a SD2C configuration of point charges, provided the lattice complex is *dimensionally* cubic. Such an arrangement of charges A and B obtains, for example, in a structure of composition AB and specified by $\mathbf{M_s} = P4/nmm$, $c/a = \sqrt{2}$, A in 2(a) and $2(c) \{0\frac{13}{24}\}$, B in 2(b) and 2(c) $\{0\frac{11}{24}\}$, $\mathbf{G_s} = P_c4/nmm$ (\mathbf{M}_{120}^{420}) (Table 14). This arrangement may be regarded as a *short-range* ZEFG structure in 2(a) and 2(b) but ZEFG in 2(c).

 Table 14. Arrangement of charges in structure of composition AB

This arrangement may be regarded as a short-range ZEFG structure in 2(a) and 2(b)

Nearest neighbours	r	Configuration	EFG				
Site s_0 in $2(a)$	or 2	(b), $\overline{4}2m$, LC = P4/mmm (a)					
First	V3	Cube A_4B_4 (acfh)	0				
Second	√4	Octahedron A_4B_2 or A_2B_4	≠0				
Third	i∕ 8	Cuboctahedron A_8B_4 or A_4	$B_8 \neq 0$				
Site s_0 in 2	Site s_0 in $2(c)$, $4mm$, $LC = P4/nmm(c)^{\dagger}$						
First	V3	Cube A_4B_4 (abcd)	0				
Second	V4	Octahedron A_6 or B_6	0				
Third	į/8	Cuboctahedron A_{12} or B_{12}	0				

† This LC is in reality P4/mmm(a), as a result of a special choice of the parameter z.

Evidently the greater the r_2/r_1 ratio, the greater the ZEFG effectivity, at s_0 , of the first coordination polyhedron. In the present case the ratio is not very favourable, $\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)$ giving $\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{3/2} \sim 1.54$. However, as shown in the preceding section, in the b.c.c. lattice $r_2/r_1 = \frac{1}{43}$ and $(r_2/r_1)^3 \sim 7.02$, so that in a pseudo ZEFG structure based on this lattice the dominance of the first coordination polyhedron in determining the EFG at s_0 would be assured.

A practical aspect: ZEFG structures as Mössbauer null matrices

In the point-charge model of crystals consisting of atoms with localized charges any ZEFG structure is a null matrix for Mössbauer-active atoms M^* in ZEFG sites. In other words, the contribution of the lattice term $q_{\text{latt.}}$ to the quadrupole splitting of an ⁵⁷Fe or ¹¹⁹Sn Mössbauer spectrum will be zero, so that in a perfect, completely ordered crystal the observed quadrupole splitting will be a measure of the departure of the electron-density distribution on M^* from 'spherical' symmetry. This isolation of the $q_{valence}$ term is always possible in structures in which the sites containing M^* are surrounded by homogeneous charge configurations embedded in coordination polyhedra of cubic symmetry. It is also always possible in structures in which the coordination polyhedra contain two kinds of charges in SD configurations whose M_s symmetry is cubic (acfh configurations on the cube in Examples 7-9).

It is disappointing that there does not seem to be available, even among likely candidates, a clear-cut example of a real, ordered crystal structure containing Fe³⁺ (high-spin) in a cubic environment: in such circumstances the observed quadrupole splitting would yield information concerning departure of the real structure from the point-charge model. In the roomtemperature (α) form of (NH₄)₃FeF₆ the Fe atoms have been stated to occupy the 4(a) sites of Fm3m (on cooling the α form transforms reversibly into a tetragonal form); K₃FeF₆ has been reported to differ from α -(NH₄)₃FeF₆ in that the F atoms occupy one-eighth of the 192(l) sites of Fm3m in statistical distribution instead of occupying the 24(e) sites (cf. Bode & Voss, 1957). Yet Christoe & Drickamer (1970) observed for K₃FeF₆ at 295°K and 1 atm a Mössbauer quadrupole splitting of 0.78 mm s⁻¹ and only a slightly lower value for $(NH_4)_3$ FeF₆ (presumably α). They explain the splitting in K_3 FeF₆ by a slight trigonal distortion of the FeF₆ octahedra plus a contribution from covalency. Bode & Voss assume from their results that the distorted FeF₆ octahedra in K₃FeF₆ have identical geometries but different orientations relative to rotation about the threefold axis, the orientations being distributed at random. However, Bode & Voss's evidence could not have distinguished between this arrangement and one in which the F atoms occupy $\frac{1}{8}$ of the 192(l) sites at random without the constraint of constant FeF₆ geometry, quite apart from the uncertain accuracy of the values of the F positional parameters (reported by Bode & Voss) from which the amount of the trigonal distortion was calculated. The possibility thus cannot be ruled out that the line width of the Mössbauer absorption ought to be interpreted as arising from a distribution of quadrupole splittings due to FeF₆ octahedra of slightly different geometries, the visually very good fit of the K₃FeF₆ line by a symmetric (Lorentzian) doublet notwithstanding [Fig. 1(a) of Christoe & Drickamer's paper]. In any case, it would be difficult to reconcile the splitting in K_3FeF_6 , which is explained by the FeF₆ distortion and covalency, with the comparable splitting in α -(NH₄)₃FeF₆ if the FeF₆ octahedra in the ammonium compound are of symmetry m3m, as claimed; (NH₄)₃FeF₆ can be expected to be no less covalent than K₃FeF₆ and in addition is very likely hydrogen-bonded. Distortion of the FeF₆ octahedra would, of course, be expected in the low-temperature form of (NH₄)₃FeF₆, but unfortunately Christoe & Drickamer are not explicit on the nature and identity of the material they studied.

When the M_s symmetry of the charge arrangement is not cubic, the structure has ZEFG at M^* only if the charges are located on lattice(s) *metrically indistinguishable* from one of cubic symmetry. This requirement, which can be satisfied by point charges in idealized arrangements, is unlikely to be fulfilled in real structures. Even if the effective sizes of two ions A^{a+} and B^{b+} ($a \neq b$) are the same, charges of different magnitude will displace adjacent charges by different amounts from their idealized positions on a cubic lattice and distortions will result. Normally the effective sizes of A^{a+} and B^{b+} are different, which manifests itself by metric distortion of the unit cell of the ordered structure. The success of using a distorted structure as a null matrix and hence as a gauge of the ionicity of M^* will then depend upon the amount by which the nearest-neighbour atoms surrounding M^* are displaced from their ideal positions, relative to the interatomic separations in the idealized structure. If the relative displacements are large, estimates of the $q_{latt.}$ contribution to the quadrupole splitting made from a point-charge model will be uncertain and so will the resolution of the observed quadrupole splitting into the valence and the lattice terms.

It is a pleasure to express our gratitude to Dr T. W. Melnyk, whose suggestions contributed greatly to improving the presentation of this paper, and to Professor J. D. H. Donnay, who made a number of helpful comments and pointed out some errors in the draft manuscript.

References

- AYASSE, C. (1972). Ph.D. thesis. Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, N.S., Canada. August 1972.
- BIRSS, R. R. (1964). Symmetry and Magnetism, pp. 91–98. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- BODE, H. & Voss, E. (1957). Z. anorg. allgem. Chem. 290, 1–16.
- CHRISTOE, C. W. & DRICKAMER, H. G. (1970). *Phys. Rev.* B1, 1813–1822.
- COLLINS, R. L. & TRAVIS, J. C. (1967). *Mössbauer Effect Methodology*, Vol. 3, Edited by I. J. GRUVERMAN, p. 123. New York: Plenum.
- GLADYSHEVSKII, E. I., MARKIV, V. YA., KUZ'MA, YU. B. & CHERKASHIN, E. E. (1963). *Titan i ego splavy (Moscow)*, 10, 71–73.
- HARARY, F. (1969). Graph Theory, Chap. 12. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.
- HARARY, F. & PALMER, E. M. (1973). Graphical Enumeration. New York: Academic Press.
- Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen (1935). Bd. I. Gruppentheoretische Tafeln. Berlin: Borntraeger.
- KNOP, O., AYASSE, C., MEADS, R. E., PARKER, W. G. & WOODHAMS, F. W. D. (1975). To be published.
- KNOP, O., BARKER, W. W. & WHITE, P. S. (1975). To be published.
- KOPTSIK, V. A. (1966). *Shubnikovskie gruppy*. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo Moskovskogo Univ.
- KRIP'YAKEVICH, P. I. & MARKIV, V. YA. (1963). Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukr. RSR (Kiev), 12, 1606–1608.
- NOWACKI, W. (1933). Z. Kristallogr. 86, 19-31.
- PALMER, E. M. & ROBINSON, R. W. (1975). On the enumeration of self-dual configurations. In preparation.
- STEWART, B. M. (1970). Adventures among the Toroids. Okemos, Mich.: published by the author.
- WILEY, J. D. & SEMAN, J. A. (1970). Bell Syst. Tech. J. 49, 355-378.
- WYCKOFF, R. W. G. (1963–1965). Crystal Structures. 2nd ed, Vols. 1-3. New York: Interscience.